

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,664	12/31/2003	Kyu Taek Cho	. 11036-048-999	2236	
24341	7590 05/10/2005		EXAMINER		
MORGAN,	LEWIS & BOCKIUS,	PEAVEY, ENOCH E			
	TO SQUARE MINO REAL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PALO ALTO, CA 94306			3676		

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/750,6	64	CHO, KYU TAEK				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Enoch E		3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 January 2005</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow				e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Qu	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,10,19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers		·		٠			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4 June 2004</u> .	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 28 January 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 200-012054 (JP 054) in view of Aonuma et al., US No 4,885,341 and further in view of Udagawa et al., US No. 6,517,085.

JP 054 discloses a method comprising mixing a rubber powder having a certain average size with a liquefied rubber. The liquefied rubber may then be applied to a surface (see SOLUTION).

JP 054 does not disclose the rubber powder comprising a first rubber powder and a second rubber powder, or the rubber being applied to a rigid plate.

Aonuma discloses mixing first and second rubber in order to achieve a vulcanizate having resistance to gas permeability (col. 1, lines 1-3).

Art Unit: 3676

Udagawa discloses coating a rigid plate (gasket) with a rubber composition in order to protect its surfaces.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify JP 054 to include the first and second rubber components for the purpose of increasing vulcanizing properties and resistance to gas permeability (col. 1, lines 1-3), and further to apply the composition to a rigid plate in order to protect the plate (gasket) surfaces.

Examiner Note: The particulars as to the ratio between the powders are considered to be a matter of optimization.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Enoch E Peavey whose telephone number is (571) 272-7061. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am to 4:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,664

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Enoch E Peavey Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676 Page 4

April 30, 2005